

Common Alerting Protocol, v. 1.2 USA Integrated Public Alert and Warning System Profile Version 1.0

Committee Specification 01

13 October 2009

Specification URIs:

This Version:

http://docs.oasis-open.org/emergency/cap/v1.2/ipaws-profile/v1.0/cs01/cap-v1.2-ipaws-profile-cs01.html

http://docs.oasis-open.org/emergency/cap/v1.2/ipaws-profile/v1.0/cs01/cap-v1.2-ipaws-profile-cs01.doc (Authoritative)

http://docs.oasis-open.org/emergency/cap/v1.2/ipaws-profile/v1.0/cs01/cap-v1.2-ipaws-profile-cs01.pdf

Previous Version:

http://docs.oasis-open.org/emergency/cap/v1.2/ipaws-profile/v1.0/cd03/cap-v1.2-ipaws-profile-cd03.html

http://docs.oasis-open.org/emergency/cap/v1.2/ipaws-profile/v1.0/cd03/cap-v1.2-ipaws-profile-cd03.doc (Authoritative)

http://docs.oasis-open.org/emergency/cap/v1.2/ipaws-profile/v1.0/cd03/cap-v1.2-ipaws-profile-cd03.pdf

Latest Version:

http://docs.oasis-open.org/emergency/cap/v1.2/ipaws-profile/v1.0/cap-v1.2-ipaws-profile-v1.0 html

http://docs.oasis-open.org/emergency/cap/v1.2/ipaws-profile/v1.0/cap-v1.2-ipaws-profile-v1.0.doc http://docs.oasis-open.org/emergency/cap/v1.2/ipaws-profile/v1.0/cap-v1.2-ipaws-profile-v1.0.pdf

Technical Committee:

OASIS Emergency Management TC

Chair(s):

Sukumar Dwarkanath, SRA International Tom Ferrentino, Individual

Elysa Jones, Warning Systems, Inc.

Editor(s):

Rex Brooks, Individual Sukumar Dwarkanath, SRA International

Related work:

This specification is related to:

• Common Alerting Protocol v. 1.2

Declared XML Namespace(s):

urn:oasis:names:tc:emergency:cap:1.2

Abstract:

This Profile of the XML-based Common Alerting Protocol (CAP) describes an interpretation of the OASIS CAP v1.2 standard necessary to meet the needs of the Integrated Public Alert and Warning System (IPAWS), a public alerting "system of systems" created by the U.S. Federal Emergency Management Agency.

Status:

This document was last revised or approved by the Emergency Management Technical Committee on the above date. The level of approval is also listed above. Check the current location noted above for possible later revisions of this document.

Technical Committee members should send comments on this specification to the Technical Committee's email list. Others should send comments to the Technical Committee by using the "Send A Comment" button on the Emergency Management TC web page at http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/emergency/.

For information on whether any patents have been disclosed that may be essential to implementing this specification, and any offers of patent licensing terms, please refer to the Intellectual Property Rights section of the Technical Committee web page at http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/emergency/ipr.php

The non-normative errata page for this specification is located at http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/emergency/.

Notices

Copyright © OASIS® 2009. All Rights Reserved.

All capitalized terms in the following text have the meanings assigned to them in the OASIS Intellectual Property Rights Policy (the "OASIS IPR Policy"). The full Policy may be found at the OASIS website.

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published, and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this section are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, including by removing the copyright notice or references to OASIS, except as needed for the purpose of developing any document or deliverable produced by an OASIS Technical Committee (in which case the rules applicable to copyrights, as set forth in the OASIS IPR Policy, must be followed) or as REQUIRED to translate it into languages other than English.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by OASIS or its successors or assigns.

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and OASIS DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY OWNERSHIP RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

OASIS requests that any OASIS Party or any other party that believes it has patent claims that would necessarily be infringed by implementations of this OASIS Committee Specification or OASIS Standard, to notify OASIS TC Administrator and provide an indication of its willingness to grant patent licenses to such patent claims in a manner consistent with the IPR Mode of the OASIS Technical Committee that produced this specification.

OASIS invites any party to contact the OASIS TC Administrator if it is aware of a claim of ownership of any patent claims that would necessarily be infringed by implementations of this specification by a patent holder that is not willing to provide a license to such patent claims in a manner consistent with the IPR Mode of the OASIS Technical Committee that produced this specification. OASIS may include such claims on its website, but disclaims any obligation to do so.

OASIS takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; neither does it represent that it has made any effort to identify any such rights. Information on OASIS' procedures with respect to rights in any document or deliverable produced by an OASIS Technical Committee can be found on the OASIS website. Copies of claims of rights made available for publication and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this OASIS Committee Specification or OASIS Standard, can be obtained from the OASIS TC Administrator. OASIS makes no representation that any information or list of intellectual property rights will at any time be complete, or that any claims in such list are, in fact, Essential Claims.

The names "OASIS", "Common Alerting Protocol", and "Emergency Data Exchange Language" are trademarks of OASIS, the owner and developer of this specification, and should be used only to refer to the organization and its official outputs. OASIS welcomes reference to, and implementation and use of, specifications, while reserving the right to enforce its marks against misleading uses. Please see http://www.oasis-open.org/who/trademark.php for above guidance.

Table of Contents

1	Introduction	5
	1.1 Purpose	5
	1.2 Process	6
	1.3 Terminology	6
	1.4 Normative References	7
	1.5 Non-Normative References	8
	1.6 Requirements	8
2	CAP v1.2 IPAWS Profile	9
	Conformance	
	3.1 Conformance Targets	13
	3.2 Conformance as an CAP v1.2 IPAWS Profile Message	
	3.3 Conformance as an CAP v1.2 IPAWS Profile Message Producer	13
	3.4 Conformance as an CAP v1.2 IPAWS Profile Message Consumer	14
Α.	Acknowledgements	15
В.	Revision History	16

1 Introduction

1.1 Purpose

1

2

15

16 17

18

20

22 23

- 3 In order to meet the needs of the devices intended to receive alerts from the United States Integrated
- 4 Public Alert and Warning System (IPAWS) System of Systems (SoS), this CAP v1.2 IPAWS Profile
- 5 constrains the CAP v1.2 standard for receipt and translation with and among IPAWS exchange partners.
- The use of this Profile is not necessarily limited to the initial IPAWS Exchange Partners. It is available to all who might want to use the particular concepts defined in this specification.

The Common Alerting Protocol (CAP) provides an open, non-proprietary digital message format for all

- types of alerts and notifications. It does not address any particular application or telecommunications
- method. The CAP format is compatible with emerging techniques, such as Web services, as well as
- 12 existing formats including the Specific Area Message Encoding (SAME) used for the United States'
- 13 National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Weather Radio and the Emergency Alert
- 14 System (EAS), while offering enhanced capabilities that include:
 - Flexible geographic targeting using latitude/longitude shapes and other geospatial representations in three dimensions;
 - Multilingual and multi-audience messaging;
 - Enhanced message update and cancellation features;
- Template support for framing complete and effective warning messages;
 - Compatible with digital encryption and signature capability; and,
- Facility for digital images and audio.

The Common Alerting Protocol (CAP) v1.0 and v1.1 were approved as OASIS standards before the Emergency Data Exchange Language (EDXL) project was developed. However, this Profile specification

- Emergency Data Exchange Language (EDXL) project was developed. However, this Profile specification
 shares the goal of the EDXL project to facilitate emergency information sharing and data exchange
- across the local, state, tribal, national and non-governmental organizations of different professions that provide emergency response and management services. Several exchange partner alerting systems of
- the IPAWS SoS are identified by this Profile for specific accommodation. However, the CAP v1.2-IPAWS
- 29 Profile is not limited to systems. It is structured to allow inclusion of other alerting systems as deemed
- 30 appropriate or necessary.
- In addition to the definition of the term Profile in Section 1.2 Terminology, this Profile is responsive to the
- 32 requirements articulated by the FEMA IPAWS Program Management Office as cited in Section 1.5 Non-
- 33 Normative References.

1.2 Process

35

38

39

40

41 42

43

- This Profile was developed primarily by integrating requirements related to three federal warning-delivery systems:
 - the broadcast Emergency Alert System (EAS) as recommended by the EAS-CAP Industry Working Group;
 - the NOAA Non-Weather Emergency Message (NWEM) "HazCollect" program for weather radio and other delivery systems as derived from technical documentation; and.
 - the Commercial Mobile Alert Service (CMAS) for cellular telephones as described in the recommendations of the Commercial Mobile Service Alert Advisory Committee (CMSAAC).
- Additional guidance was drawn from subject matter experts familiar with the design and implementation of those and other public warning systems.

46 1.3 Terminology

- The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD
- 48 NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described
- 49 in [RFC2119].
- The words warning, alert and notification are used interchangeably throughout this document.
- 51 The term **coordinate pair** is used in this document to refer to a comma-delimited pair of decimal values
- describing a geospatial location in degrees, unprojected, in the form "[latitude], [longitude]". Latitudes in
- the Southern Hemisphere and longitudes in the Western Hemisphere are signed negative by means of a leading dash.
- 55 CMAS Commercial Mobile Alert System System recommended by FCC-established Commercial
- 56 Mobile Service Alert Advisory Committee (CMSAAC) CMSAAC's mission was to develop
- 57 recommendations on technical standards and protocols to facilitate the ability of commercial mobile
- 58 service (CMS) providers to voluntarily transmit emergency alerts to their subscribers. The committee was
- 59 established pursuant to Section 603 of the Warning, Alert and Response Network Act (WARN Act), which
- was enacted on October 13, 2006.
- 61 **DHS** USA Department of Homeland Security Federal Executive Branch Cabinet Department
- 62 EAS USA Emergency Alert System, specifically mandated by the FCC is a national public warning
- system that requires broadcasters, cable television systems, wireless cable systems, satellite digital audio
- 64 radio service (SDARS) providers and, direct broadcast satellite (DBS) service providers to provide the
- 65 communications capability to the President to address the American public during a National emergency.
- 66 The system also may be used by state and local authorities to deliver important emergency information
- 67 such as AMBER alerts and weather information targeted to a specific area.
- 68 FCC USA Federal Communication Commission.
- 69 **FEMA** USA Federal Emergency Management Agency
- 70 HazCollect USA National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Weather Service All
- 71 Hazards Emergency Message Collection System (HazCollect) provides an automated capability to
- 72 streamline the creation, authentication, collection, and dissemination of non-weather emergency
- 73 messages in a quick and secure fashion. The HazCollect system is a comprehensive solution for the
- 74 centralized collection and efficient distribution of Non-Weather Emergency Messages (NWEMs) to the
- NWS dissemination infrastructure, the Emergency Alert System (EAS), and other national systems.
- 76 IPAWS USA Integrated Public Alert and Warning System was established by Executive Order 13407 in
- 77 June 2006. The Department of Homeland Security, the Federal Emergency Management Agency
- 78 (DHS/FEMA) and the IPAWS Program Management Office (PMO) work with public and private sectors to
- 79 integrate warning systems to allow the President and authorized officials to effectively address and warn
- the public and State and local emergency operations centers via phone, cell phone, pagers, computers
- 81 and other personal communications devices

IPAWS Exchange Partner –The EAS, HazCollect and CMAS exchange partners are specifically addressed by this specification document. Other systems may also use this Profile.

Profile – As used in this document, a Profile consists of an agreed-upon subset and interpretation of the. OASIS CAP-v1.2 Specification. An XML Profile is applied to an existing XML Schema (in this case the OASIS Standard CAP v1.2 Schema) in order to constrain or enforce aspects of it to accomplish a specific purpose according to the definition and criteria set forth for an XML Profile. Any message that is in compliance with the Profile must validate against the original XML Schema as well as the resulting XML Schema of the Profile.

1.4 Normative References

93 94	[RFC2119]	S. Bradner, Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels, IETF RFC 2119, March 1997.
95		http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt
96	[dateTime]	N. Freed, XML Schema Part 2: Datatypes Second Edition,
97 98		http://www.w3.org/TR/xmlschema-2/#dateTime , W3C REC-xmlschema-2, October 2004.
99 100	[FIPS 180-2]	National Institute for Standards and Technology, Secure Hash Standard, August 2002.
101 102		http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/fips/fips180-2/fips180-2withchangenotice.pdf
103 104	[namespaces]	T. Bray, Namespaces in XML, W3C REC-xml-names-19990114, January 1999.
105		http://www.w3.org/TR/REC-xml-names/
106 107	[RFC2046]	N. Freed, Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (MIME) Part Two: Media Types, IETF RFC 2046, November 1996.
108		http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2046.txt
109 110	[RFC2119]	S. Bradner, Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels, IETF RFC 2119, March 1997.
111		http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt
112 113	[RFC3066]	H. Alvestrand, Tags for the Identification of Languages, IETF RFC 3066, January 2001.
114		http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3066.txt
115 116	[WGS 84]	National Geospatial Intelligence Agency, Department of Defense World Geodetic System 1984, NGA Technical Report TR8350.2, January 2000.
117		http://earth-info.nga.mil/GandG/tr8350_2.html
118 119	[XML 1.0]	T. Bray, Extensible Markup Language (XML) 1.0 (Third Edition), W3C REC-XML-20040204, February 2004.
120		http://www.w3.org/TR/REC-xml/
121 122	[XMLSIG]	Eastlake, D., Reagle, J. and Solo, D. (editors), <i>XML-Signature Syntax and Processing</i> , W3C Recommendation, February 2002.
123		http://www.w3.org/TR/2002/REC-xmldsig-core-20020212/
124 125	[XMLENC]	Eastlake, D. and Reagle, J. (editors), XML Encryption Syntax and Processing,
126		W3C Recommendation, December 2002.
127		http://www.w3.org/TR/2002/REC-xmlenc-core-20021210/
128 129	[CFR Title 47 Pt 11]	Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Administration, Government Printing Office, XML Code of Federal

130 131 132		Regulations, Federal Communications Commission, Title 47 Telecommunication Part 11 Emergency Alert System, October 1998. http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/waisidx_98/47cfr11_98.html
133	1.5 Non-Normative R	References
134 135 136 137 138	[FEMA IPAWS CAP PROFILE REQUIREMENTS]	FEMA IPAWS Program Management Office FEMA IPAWS CAP v1.2 Profile Requirements v2.4 - Public, December 2008 http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/31084/FEMA_IPAWS_CAP%20v1.1 _Profile_Requirements_v2.4Public.doc
139 140 141	[EAS-CAP Profile]	EAS-CAP Industry Group EAS-CAP Profile Recommendation EAS-CAP-01, September 2008. http://www.eas-cap.org/Recommendation%20EAS-CAP-0.1.pdf
142 143 144	[NOAA HazCollect]	Disaster Management Open Platform for Emergency Networks Program Instructions for Using the NOAA HazCollect Interface on the Open Platform for Emergency Networks (OPEN) November 2008
145 146 147		http://www.oasis- open.org/committees/download.php/31085/using_hazcollect_on_open20 081106.pdf
148	1.6 Requirements	
149 150 151 152 153	The FEMA IPAWS Program Management Office submitted the <i>FEMA IPAWS CAP v1.1Profile</i> Requirements v2.4 – Public document referenced above and available at the url cited above as the basis for developing the CAP v1.2 IPAWS Profile v1.0. It should be noted that not all requirements found in the FEMA IPAWS Program Management Office Requirements document are included in this specification. For example, the proposal for multiple info blocks for different delivery system was found unnecessary.	

2 CAP v1.2 IPAWS Profile

Table 1 and Table 2 together specify the REQUIRED constraints placed by the CAP v1.2 IPAWS Profile on a CAP v1.2 message in order for the message to be a valid CAP IPAWS Profile message. This table contains only those elements of CAP v1.2 for which there is a Profile Specification or Profile Note. CAP v1.2 elements not included here simply means there is no specific constraint or condition in the use of those elements for the Profile.

Table 1: CAP v1.2 IPAWS Profile Specification and Profile Note

CAP Element	Profile Specification (Normative)	Profile Note (Non-Normative)
Elements in boldface are REQUIRED.	(Subcommittee)	(Subcommittee)
Elements in boldface	A value of "Actual" SHALL be used for messages intended for dissemination to the public, including test messages intended for delivery to the public.	Some exchange partners may elect not to transmit certain messages of <status> "Actual" based on the <eventcode> values of the messages. For example, CMAS may not carry EAS required weekly test messages.</eventcode></status>
source		Exchange partners should be aware that the <source/> value may be publicly presented as a "signature line" in some delivery systems.
code *	(1) REQUIRED.(2) Value SHALL include the string "IPAWSv1.0" to indicate the Profile version in use.	
references	All related messages that have not yet expired MUST be referenced for "Update" and "Cancel" messages.	
info *	 (1) All <info> blocks in a single alert MUST relate to a single incident or update, with the same <category> and <eventcode> values.</eventcode></category></info> (2) An <info> block SHOULD contain only one <eventcode> with a <valuename> of "SAME"</valuename></eventcode></info> (3) All <info> blocks SHALL be</info> 	 Multiple <info> blocks may be used to deliver content in different languages.</info> Exchange partners may elect to process only the first <info> block encountered in a language they support.</info>
	(3) All <info> blocks SHALL be appropriate for immediate public release.</info>	(3) Other <eventcode> elements may also be present.</eventcode>

154

155

156

157 158

159

160

CAP Element	Profile Specification (Normative)	Profile Note (Non-Normative)	
eventCode *	 (1) REQUIRED. (2) Messages intended for EAS, CMAS and HazCollect dissemination MUST include one and only one instance of this with a <valuename> of "SAME" and using a SAME-standard three-letter value.</valuename> (3) Other <eventcode> elements, other than SAME, may also be present.</eventcode> (4) All values for EAS Event Code SHALL be passed through by EAS CAP Profile devices, even if the Event Code is not shown in FCC Part 11.31, as long as the value is a three-letter code. 		
effective	Ignored if present. Alerts SHALL be effective upon issuance.	The <description> and <instruction> elements may refer to future events or actions.</instruction></description>	
onset	Ignored if present. Alerts SHALL be effective upon issuance.	The <description> and <instruction> elements may refer to future events or actions</instruction></description>	
expires	REQUIRED.		
description	Messages SHOULD have meaningful values for the <description>.</description>	The content in <description> may be truncated and therefore it is recommended that essential information be addressed first.</description>	
instruction	Messages SHOULD have meaningful values for the <instruction>.</instruction>	The content in <instruction> may be truncated and therefore it is recommended that essential information be addressed first.</instruction>	
parameter *	Please see Table 2 (below)		
resourceDesc	 A value of "EAS Broadcast Content" SHALL be used to indicate that the elements of a <resource> block are intended for EAS broadcast.</resource> EAS broadcast audio and video content SHOULD match the message's textual content. 	(1) The value of <resourcedesc> is case sensitive.</resourcedesc>(2) The content is identified by the <mimetype>.</mimetype>	

CAP Element	Profile Specification (Normative)	Profile Note (Non-Normative)	
	A <mimetype> of "audio/x-ipaws- audio", "audio/x-ipaws-streaming- audio", "video/x-ipaws-video" and "video/x-ipaws-streaming-video" SHALL be used to identify broadcast content</mimetype>	(1) Selection of the most appropriate encoding is outside of the OASIS Emergency Management Technical Committee's expertise. However, OASIS recommends:	
mimeType	for delivery to the public.	A) that a single format be specified for each of these types; and,	
		B) that preference be given to open, non-proprietary standards when selecting these encodings.	
		(2) If broadcast content exceeds two minutes playing time it may be truncated by exchange partners except for Presidential Messages.	
	(1) REQUIRED.		
area *	(2) At least one <area/> block MUST be present.		
	(1) At least one instance of <geocode> with a <valuename> of "SAME" and a value of a SAME 6-digit location (extended FIPS) SHOULD be used.</valuename></geocode>	(1) The 5-digit form, if needed, can be derived by removing the first digit from the 6 digit form.(2) If a SAME-based <geocode> is</geocode>	
geocode *	(2) The more precise geospatial representations of the area, <polygon> and <circle>, SHOULD also be used whenever possible.</circle></polygon>	not present, IPAWS exchange partners unable to use a geospatia representation may ignore the message.	
	(3) A SAME value of "000000" refers to ALL United States territory or territories.		

Table 2: <parameter> detail

CAP Element	Profile Specification (Normative)	
parameter *	Messages intended for EAS and/or HazCollect dissemination MUST include an instance of <pre></pre>	
	Messages invoking the "Gubernatorial Must-Carry" rule MUST include a <parameter> with <valuename> of "EAS-Must-Carry" and value of "TRUE" for gubernatorial alerts.</valuename></parameter>	
	Messages intended for CMAS dissemination MAY include an instance of <parameter> with a <valuename> of "CMAMtext" and a <value> containing free form text limited in length to 90 English characters.</value></valuename></parameter>	

*May have multiple occurrences in a message under CAP v1.2 specification.

3 Conformance

- 169 An implementation conforms to this specification if it satisfies all of the MUST or REQUIRED level requirements defined within this specification.
- 170
- 171 This specification references a number of other specifications. In order to comply with this specification,
- 172 an implementation MUST implement the portions of referenced specifications necessary to comply with
- 173 the required provisions of this specification. Additionally, the implementation of the portions of the
- 174 referenced specifications that are specifically cited in this specification MUST comply with the rules for
- those portions as established in the referenced specification. 175

176

177

180

181

182

168

3.1 Conformance Targets

- The three following conformance targets are defined in order to support the specification of conformance 178 to this standard: 179
 - a) CAP v1.2 IPAWS Profile Message
 - b) CAP v1.2 IPAWS Profile Message Producer
 - c) CAP v1.2 IPAWS Profile Message Consumer
- 183 A CAP v1.2 IPAWS Profile Message is an XML 1.0 document whose syntax and semantics are specified 184 in this standard.
- A CAP v1.2 IPAWS Profile Message Producer is a software entity that produces CAP v1.2 IPAWS Profile 185
- 186 Messages.
- 187 A CAP v1.2 IPAWS Profile Message Consumer is a software entity that consumes CAP v1.2 IPAWS
- Profile Messages. 188

3.2 Conformance as an CAP v1.2 IPAWS Profile Message

- 190 An XML 1.0 document is a conforming CAP v1.2 IPAWS Profile Message if and only if:
 - a) it is valid according to the schema in Section 3.4 of the specification located at http://docs.oasisopen.org/emergency/cap/v1.2/ and
 - the content of its elements and the values of its attributes meet all the additional mandatory requirements specified in Section 2.

194 195

189

191

192

193

196 197

198

199 200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207 208

209

3.3 Conformance as an CAP v1.2 IPAWS Profile Message Producer

A software entity is a conforming CAP v1.2 IPAWS Profile Message Producer if and only if:

(1) it is constructed in such a way that any XML document produced by it and present in a place in which a conforming CAP v1.2 IPAWS Profile Message is expected (based on contextual information) is indeed a conforming CAP v1.2 IPAWS Profile Message according to this standard.

The condition in (1) above can be satisfied in many different ways. Here are some examples of possible scenarios:

- a standard protocol (for example, EDXL-DE) transfers messages carrying CAP v1.2 IPAWS Profile Messages; a client has sent a request for an CAP v1.2 IPAWS Profile Message to a server which claims to be a conforming CAP v1.2 IPAWS Profile Message Producer, and has received a response which is therefore expected to carry a conforming CAP v1.2 IPAWS Profile Message;
- a local test environment has been set up, and the application under test (which claims to be a conforming CAP v1.2 IPAWS Profile Message Producer) has the ability to produce a CAP v1.2 IPAWS Profile Message and write it to a file in a directory in response to a request coming from

210 211 212	the testing tool; the testing tool has sent many requests to the application under test and is now verifying all the files present in the directory, which is expected to contain only conforming CAP v1.2 IPAWS Profile Messages;
213	
214	3.4 Conformance as an CAP v1.2 IPAWS Profile Message Consumer
215	A software entity is a conforming CAP v1.2 IPAWS Profile Message Consumer if and only if:
216 217	(1) it is constructed in such a way that it is able to successfully validate and ingest a CAP v1.2 IPAWS Profile Message, as defined in Sec 3.2
218 219	The condition in (1) above can be satisfied in many different ways. Here is one example of a possible scenario:
220 221	 a client receives and processes a CAP v1.2 IPAWS Profile Message from a server which claims to be a conforming CAP v1.2 IPAWS Profile Message Producer
222	

A. Acknowledgements

224 The following individuals have participated in the creation of this specification and are gratefully acknowledged: 225

226 **Participants:**

223

235

241

227 Aviv Siegel, AtHoc, Inc.

Art Botterell, Conta Costa County Community Warning System

228 229 Tim Grapes, Evolution Technologies, Inc. 230 Lee Tincher, Evolution Technologies, Inc.

231 Rex Brooks, Individual Member Gary Ham, Inidividual Member 232 Jacob Westfall, Individual Member 233 Thomas Ferrentino, Individual Member 234

Robert Bunge, NOAA's National Weather Service

Sukumar Dwarkanath, SRA International 236

237 William Kalin, U.S. Department of Homeland Security Richard Vandame, U.S. Department of Homeland Security 238

Patrick Gannon, Warning Systems, Inc. 239 240 Elysa Jones, Warning Systems, Inc.

B. Revision History

243

242

Revision	Date	Editor	Changes Made
WD.01	1-26-2009	Rex Brooks	First Draft.
WD.02	1-27-2009	Rex Brooks	Updated Table of Contents; Added Text to Section 1.1; Added Revision History
WD.03	1-29/2009	Rex Brooks	Full Subcommittee Revision of Section 1,
WD.04	2-3-2009	Rex Brooks	Multiple updates per CAP Profiles Subcommittee decisions.
WD.041	2-5-209	Rex Brooks	Multiple updates per CAP Profiles Subcommittee decisions.
WD.042	2-10-2009	Rex Brooks	Move Sections 3 to an Appendix; Insert FEMA CAPv1.1 Profile Requirements v2.4 Public as Appendix; Delete Section 4; Prepare Document for vote to submit to Emergency Management Technical Committee per CAP Profiles Subcommittee decisions.
WD.05	2-12-2009	Rex Brooks	Final prep for report out to the TC.
CD 01	2-24-2009	Rex Brooks	First Committee Draft.
PR 01	2-26-2009	Rex Brooks	First Public Review Draft.
CD02	7-7-2009	Rex Brooks	Second Committee Draft.
PR02	7-7-2009	Rex Brooks	Second Public Review Draft.

244

245